International Journal of Computer Sciences and Engineering
Vol.**11**, Issue.**7**, pp.**08-14**, July **2023**
ISSN: 2347-2693 (Online)
Available online at: www.ijcseonline.org

ISSN: 2347-2693 (E)

Research Paper

Congestion Control Techniques to Improve the Performance of Wireless Networks Using Dynamic Routing and Load Balancing Techniques

S. Mohanarangan[1*], V. Umadevi[2], K.M. Banu Priya[3], M. Hemamalini[4]

[1,2,3]Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Arunai Engineering College, Tiruvannamalai, Tamilnadu, India
[4]Department of Computer Science, Kamban Arts and Science College for Women, Tiruvannamalai, Tamilnadu, India

**Corresponding Author: sramrangan@gmail.com*

Received: 23/May/2023; **Accepted**: 26/Jun/2023; **Published**: 31/Jul/2023. **DOI**: https://doi.org/10.26438/ijcse/v11i7.814

Abstract: The proliferation of wireless networks has revolutionized our communication landscape, enabling ubiquitous connectivity and empowering various applications and services. However, new difficulties arise as wireless networks continue to develop and grow, necessitating novel strategies for effectively reducing congestion. In this paper, we explore the arising congestion control issue in remote organizations and propose novel procedures to address it. Customary congestion control components were fundamentally intended for wired networks and may not completely line up with the special attributes and limitations of remote conditions. Congested wireless networks have resulted in decreased performance, increased latency, and reduced throughput as a result of the rapid growth in the number of wireless devices and the rising demand for high-bandwidth applications. Moreover, the heterogeneity of remote connections, portability examples, and impedance acquaint extra intricacies with blockage control. We propose a multifaceted approach to the new wireless network congestion control issue to address these issues. Right off the bat, we advocate for the combination of cutting edge traffic separation methods. We can allocate network resources more effectively and prioritize critical traffic during congestion events by categorizing traffic according to priority, requirements for quality of service, and application characteristics. Second, we stress the significance of channel access mechanisms that are adaptable. Existing conflict based admittance conventions like CSMA/CA are restricted in their capacity to deal with clog in remote organizations. We propose improved channel access instruments that powerfully change access probabilities, ease off boundaries, or conflict window sizes in light of the noticed clog levels and organization conditions. This adaptive strategy makes sure that channels are used fairly and effectively, preventing congestion hotspots and maximizing network performance overall. Thirdly, we investigate how artificial intelligence and machine learning can be used to improve congestion control in wireless networks. We can develop intelligent algorithms that adaptively adjust congestion control parameters in real time by utilizing historical traffic patterns, link conditions, and congestion events. These intelligent algorithms are able to learn from the dynamics of the network, anticipate scenarios that are prone to congestion, and actively take preventative measures. Congestion control in wireless networks is the focus of our study, which aims to address the particular difficulties that these environments present. We hope to improve network performance, enhance user experience, and lay the groundwork for the effective implementation of future wireless technologies by integrating intelligent decision-making, traffic differentiation, and adaptive channel access. Wireless networks necessitate novel strategies for congestion control in order to guarantee optimal performance and scalability. We can effectively reduce congestion and unlock the full potential of wireless networks for supporting a wide range of applications and services by utilizing advanced traffic differentiation techniques, adaptive channel access mechanisms, and intelligent algorithms.

Keywords: Congestion Control, Wireless Networks, Contention-Based Access Protocols, Machine Learning, Intelligent Algorithms, Adaptive Channel Access, Network Performance and Scalability

1. Introduction

Wireless networks support a wide range of applications and services and provide ubiquitous connectivity, wireless networks have become an essential component of our day-to-day lives. However, congestion-related issues have arisen in these networks due to the rapid expansion of wireless devices and the rising demand for high-bandwidth services.. Congestion happens when the insist for network resources exceeds the available capacity, resulting in degraded performance, increased latency, and reduced throughput [1]. Traditional congestion control mechanisms, primarily designed for wired networks, may not fully address the unique characteristics and constraints of wireless environments. Thus, there is a pressing need to develop novel strategies to address the emerging congestion control problem in wireless networks. The rise of wireless networks has brought about new challenges in congestion control. The proliferation of mobile devices, Internet of Things (IoT) devices, and wireless sensor networks has significantly increased the number of connected devices competing for limited wireless resources. Moreover, the exponential growth of data-intensive applications, such as video streaming and cloud-based services, has exacerbated the congestion problem.

Wireless networks also face inherent characteristics that contribute to congestion. The wireless medium is shared among multiple users, leading to contention for limited bandwidth. Additionally, wireless links exhibit varying channel conditions due to fading, interference, and mobility, further complicating congestion control. As a result, traditional congestion control mechanisms based on wired networks, such as Transmission Control Protocol (TCP) congestion control, are not well-suited for wireless networks [2].

PROBLEM STATEMENT: To tackle the emerging congestion control problem in wireless networks, innovative strategies are required to optimize resource utilization, mitigate congestion, and enhance network performance. We propose the following novel strategies:

One key approach is to incorporate advanced traffic differentiation and prioritization techniques, by categorizing traffic based on priority, quality of service requirements, and application characteristics, wireless networks can allocate network resources more efficiently. Critical traffic, such as real-time voice or video communications or emergency services, can be prioritized during congestion events to ensure timely delivery. Traffic shaping and admission control mechanisms can be employed to manage the allocation of resources based on traffic priorities, thus minimizing congestion and optimizing performance.

Traditional contention-based channel access protocols, like Carrier Sense Multiple Access with Collision Avoidance (CSMA/CA), face limitations in effectively managing congestion in wireless networks. To overcome these limitations, adaptive channel access mechanisms can be developed. These mechanisms dynamically adjust access probabilities, back off parameters, or contention window sizes based on the observed congestion levels and network conditions. Adaptive channel access enables more efficient utilization of the wireless medium by allocating resources to higher-priority or delay-sensitive traffic during congestion periods. This approach reduces collisions and contention, improving overall network performance.

MOTIVATION: The emerging congestion control problem in wireless networks requires novel strategies to address the unique challenges posed by these environments. By incorporating traffic differentiation and prioritization, adaptive channel access mechanisms, and leveraging machine learning and AI techniques, we can mitigate congestion effectively and optimize network performance. These strategies enable efficient resource utilization, improved user experience, and the deployment of future wireless technologies. Through continued research and innovation, we can ensure the seamless operation of wireless networks and meet the growing demands.

2. Related Work

Numerous research studies and publications have investigated dynamic routing and load balancing in wireless networks. Here are some key areas of related work:
Routing Protocols: Researchers have proposed and evaluated various routing protocols for wireless networks, including proactive protocols like Optimized Link State Routing (OLSR) and reactive protocols like Ad hoc On-Demand Distance Vector (AODV). These protocols aim to dynamically establish and update routing paths based on network conditions, such as congestion levels, link quality, and energy constraints.

Load Balancing Algorithms: Load balancing algorithms have been developed to distribute traffic across multiple paths or access points in wireless networks. These algorithms consider factors such as traffic demands, available bandwidth, and congestion levels to make intelligent decisions on traffic redirection and load distribution [3]. Examples include Equal-Cost Multi-Path (ECMP) and Weighted Round Robin (WRR) algorithms.

Traffic Engineering: Traffic engineering techniques aim to optimize network performance by dynamically adjusting routing paths based on traffic demands and network conditions. These techniques consider factors like link capacity, traffic volume, and delay requirements to route traffic along paths that minimize congestion and meet quality of service (QoS) objectives. Optimization approaches, including mathematical programming and heuristic algorithms, are commonly employed in traffic engineering.

3. Dynamic Routing and Load Balancing Techniques

Congestion control using dynamic routing as well as load balancing in wireless networks involves optimizing the routing decisions based on congestion levels and load distribution. While a comprehensive mathematical solution would require detailed modelling and analysis of the network dynamics, here we discussed with an overview of the approach and some key mathematical considerations.

***Network Topology Representation*:**
The network can be represented as a graph, where nodes represent access points or routers, and edges represent the wireless links between them. Each node has associated parameters such as congestion levels, available bandwidth, and traffic load.

Congestion Metrics:
Define congestion metric to quantify the congestion level at each node or link. This metric can be based on factors like traffic load, packet loss rate, delay, or queue length. Assign congestion values to nodes or links based on these metrics.

Load Balancing Metric:
Define a load balancing metric that captures the traffic distribution across the network. This metric can be based on

factors like traffic volume, available bandwidth, or queue occupancy. The load balancing metric is used to determine the load distribution across multiple available paths [4].

Path Selection:
Formulate an optimization problem to determine the optimal path selection based on congestion and load balancing metrics. This can be done by defining an objective function that combines both metrics. The objective function may aim to minimize congestion while evenly distributing traffic load across the network.

Mathematical Optimization:
Apply mathematical optimization like linear programming techniques, integer programming approach, or dynamic programming mechanisms to solve the optimization problem. The objective function should be subject to constraints such as link capacity, traffic demands, and network connectivity. The solution will provide the optimal routing paths that balance traffic load and minimize congestion.

Dynamic Updates:
To account for dynamic changes in the network, the optimization problem needs to be solved periodically or in real-time. As congestion levels or traffic demands change, the solution can be updated using new input data. This requires continuous monitoring of network parameters and rapid re-optimization of routing paths when congestion conditions change.

Implementation Considerations:
Implement the mathematical solution in the network infrastructure by integrating it into routing protocols or network management systems. This may involve developing algorithms and mechanisms for path selection, traffic redirection, and load balancing based on the optimized routing paths.

4. Mathematical and Analysis of The Algorithm

Network Topology Representation: $Let\ G = (V, E)$ represent the wireless network, where V is the set of nodes (access points or routers) and E is the set of edges (wireless links) connecting them.

Congestion Metrics: $Let\ C(v)$ represent the congestion metric at node v, which quantifies the congestion level. It can be defined based on factors such as traffic load, packet loss rate, delay, or queue length.

Load Balancing Metric: Let $L(v)$ represent the load balancing metric at node v, which captures the traffic distribution across the network. It can be based on factors like traffic volume, available bandwidth, or queue occupancy.

Path Selection: To determine the optimal routing paths based on congestion and load balancing metrics, we formulate an optimization problem. $Let\ P(i, j)$ denote the binary decision variable, where $P(i, j) = 1$ if the path between nodes i and j is selected, and $P(i, j) = 0$ otherwise.

Objective Function: Define an objective function that combines congestion and load balancing metrics. Let f(P) represent the objective function to be optimized, which aims to minimize congestion and balance traffic load. The specific form of the objective function will depend on the chosen metrics and optimization goals.

Constraints: Include constraints to ensure network connectivity, link capacity, and traffic demands are satisfied. These constraints can be represented using mathematical equations based on the network topology and characteristics. For example, constraints can enforce that each node has incoming and outgoing paths, or that the sum of traffic demands on selected paths does not exceed the link capacity.

Optimization: Apply mathematical optimization techniques to solve the formulated problem and find the optimal routing paths. Depending on the specific formulation and constraints, techniques such as linear programming, integer programming, or dynamic programming can be used to solve the optimization problem [5].

4.1 Routing Optimization
First, we aim to optimize the routing paths to minimize congestion while ensuring load balancing across the network (10). We use a simplified example with a small wireless network topology represented as a graph.

Network Topology: Consider a wireless network with the following topology:
$Nodes\ (V): \{A, B, C, D\}$
$Edges\ (E): \{(A, B), (A, C), (B, C), (B, D), (C, D)\}$

Congestion Metrics: Let's assume that the congestion metric at each node is represented by the variable $C(v)$, where v is the node.
$C(A), C(B), C(C), C(D)$ represent the congestion levels at nodes A, B, C, and D, respectively.

Load Balancing Metric: Similarly, we represent the load balancing metric at each node as L(v), where v is the node.
$L(A), L(B), L(C), L(D)$ represent the load balancing metrics at nodes A, B, C, and D, respectively.

Objective Function: The objective function $f(P)$ aims to minimize congestion and balance traffic load across the network. We can define it as follows:

Minimize: $f(P) = \Sigma\, C(v) + \alpha * \Sigma\, L(v)$
where α is a weighting parameter that determines the trade-off between congestion and load balancing. A higher value of α emphasizes load balancing, while a lower value emphasizes congestion minimization.

Constraints: To ensure network connectivity and link capacity constraints, we have the following:

Each node must have at least one incoming path and one outgoing path:

$$\forall v \in V: \Sigma P(u, v) - \Sigma P(v, w) = 0$$

Traffic demands on selected paths should not exceed the link capacity:

$$\forall (u, v) \in E: \Sigma P(u, v) * D(u, v) \leq C(u, v)$$

where $D(u,v)$ represents the traffic demand between nodes u and v.

Optimization: Now, the problem is to find the optimal path selection (P) that minimizes the objective function f(P) while satisfying the connectivity and link capacity constraints. We can use linear programming techniques to solve this optimization problem and find the optimal path selection.

Dynamic Updates: To account for dynamic changes in the network, the optimization problem should be solved periodically or in real-time. As congestion levels or traffic demands change, the solution can be updated using new input data. The optimization process can be triggered at specific intervals or when significant changes in the network are detected [6].

In a real-world scenario, the network topology, metrics, and constraints would be more complex. Actual implementations would require more sophisticated modelling and optimization techniques to handle real-world complexities.

4.2 Network Utility Maximization

Secondly in wireless networks, using a specific mathematical-based solution called Network Utility Maximization (NUM) .

Objective: The objective of NUM is to maximize the network utility, which represents the overall user satisfaction or performance in the network. We define the network utility as $U = \sum[u\_i(R\_i)]$, where $u\_i(.)$ is the utility function of user i and R_i is the transmission rate allocated to user i.

Congestion Control: To control congestion, we introduce a congestion control variable γ_i for each user i. The congestion control variable represents the fraction of available resources that user i is allowed to utilize. We have the constraint $0 \leq \gamma\_i \leq 1$, indicating that user i cannot exceed its allocated share of the resources.

Resource Allocation: The resource allocation problem can be formulated as follows:

Maximize $U = \sum[u\_i(R\_i)]$ (1)

subject to:

$R\_i \leq \gamma\_i * C\_i$, (2)

where C_i represents the channel capacity or available bandwidth for user i.

$\sum[R_i] \leq C_$total, (3)

where C_total is the total available capacity in the network.

$0 \leq \gamma\_i \leq 1$, for all users i. (4)

Optimization: To solve the resource allocation problem, we can use optimization techniques such as convex optimization or Lagrange duality. The objective is to find the optimal resource allocation rates R_i and the congestion control variables γ_i that maximize the network utility while satisfying the constraints.

Dynamic Adaptation: To address dynamic changes in the network, the resource allocation problem can be solved periodically or adaptively. As the network conditions change, new channel capacity information, traffic demands, or congestion levels can be incorporated into the optimization problem to dynamically adjust the resource allocation and congestion control variables.

It's important to note that the specific utility function $u\_i(.)$ and the channel capacity constraints C_i may vary based on the application requirements and network characteristics. The example provided here demonstrates the general framework of using mathematical optimization techniques, specifically Network Utility Maximization, for congestion control in wireless networks [7]. The actual implementation and mathematical formulations will depend on the specific context and requirements of the congestion control problem being addressed.

4.3 Optimizing Channel Allocation

Thirdly in wireless networks: optimizing channel allocation to minimize interference and maximize throughput (12). We'll provide a sample mathematical solution using graph colouring techniques:

Network Topology Representation: $Let\ G = (V, E)$ represent the wireless network, where V is the set of nodes (access points or routers) and E is the set of edges (wireless links) connecting them.

Channel Assignment Variables: $Let\ X(v, c)$ be a binary decision variable, where $X(v, c) = 1$ if node v is assigned channel c, and $X(v, c) = 0$ otherwise. The set of available channels is denoted as C.

Interference Constraints: For any two neighbouring nodes u and v, if they are assigned the same channel, they will experience interference. To minimize interference, we impose the following constraint:

$\sum X(u, c) \leq 1$, for all $u, v \in V$ and $c \in C$,

such that $(u, v) \in E$

This constraint ensures that neighbouring nodes are assigned different channels to minimize interference.

Throughput Maximization: To maximize the overall network throughput, we can introduce a utility function that captures the achievable throughput based on the assigned channels. $Let\ T(v, c)$ represent the achievable throughput at node v if it is assigned channel c. The objective is to maximize the sum of throughputs across all nodes:

Maximize:

$\sum T(v, c) * X(v, c)$, for all $v \in V\ and\ c \in C$ (5)

This objective function encourages the assignment of channels that maximize the achievable throughput at each node [8].

Optimization: The optimization problem can be formulated as an integer linear program (ILP) as follows:
Maximize:
$\sum T(v,c) * X(v,c)$, for $all\ v \in V$ and $c \in C$ (6)
Subject to: $\sum X(u,c) \leq 1$, for all $u,v \in V$ and $c \in C$, such $that\ (u,v) \in E\ X(v,c) \in \{0,1\}$, for all $v \in V\ and\ c \in C$ (7)

Solving this ILP will yield the optimal assignment of channels that minimizes interference and maximizes throughput in the wireless network.

The values and formulations of the throughput function $T(v,c)$ and interference constraints may vary depending on the specific wireless network scenario and the available information about channel characteristics, signal-to-interference ratio, and other relevant factors. The provided mathematical solution is a simplified example to illustrate the concept of optimizing channel allocation for congestion control in wireless networks.

4.4 Rate Control

The fourth one is a specific congestion control technique in wireless networks known as "Rate Control." In this technique, the transmission rate of each user is adjusted dynamically to alleviate congestion (13). Here's a sample mathematical-based solution for rate control in wireless networks:

Problem Formulation: Let's assume we have a wireless network with N users, denoted as $U = \{U1, U2, \ldots, UN\}$. Each user Ui is associated with a transmission rate Ri, representing the amount of data that Ui can transmit per unit time.

Congestion Metric: Define a congestion metric C that quantifies the congestion level in the network. It can be based on factors such as packet loss rate, delay, or queue length. For simplicity, let's assume C represents the average packet loss rate in the network.

Objective Function: The objective is to minimize the congestion in the network by adjusting the transmission rates of the users. Let's define an objective function f(R) that represents the total congestion in the network as a function of the transmission rates $R = \{R1, R2, \ldots, RN\}$.

Congestion Model: Assuming that the packet loss rate is a function of the transmission rates, we can model the congestion as: $C = g(R)$ Here, g(R) is a function that relates the transmission rates to the congestion level. The specific form of the function will depend on the network characteristics and the congestion model used.

Optimization Problem: The optimization problem can be formulated as follows: Minimize f(R) subject to the constraint $C \leq C\_max$, where C_max is the maximum acceptable congestion level. The objective is to find the optimal transmission rates R* that minimize the congestion while satisfying the congestion constraint.

Solution Approach: To solve the optimization problem, various techniques can be applied, such as gradient descent, convex optimization, or iterative algorithms. The specific approach will depend on the complexity of the objective function and the constraints.

Dynamic Updates: To adapt to changing network conditions, the optimization problem should be solved periodically or in real-time. As the congestion level or network dynamics change, the transmission rates can be updated using the latest information. This requires continuous monitoring of the congestion metric and re-optimization of the transmission rates when congestion conditions change. Further, mathematical formulation and solution approach will depend on the chosen congestion control technique and the underlying network model. The sample provided above outlines a general mathematical-based solution for rate control in wireless networks [9].

Table 1: Different optimization techniques and related work in the context of dynamic routing and load balancing in wireless networks

Optimization Technique	Description	Related Work
Routing Protocols	Dynamic establishment and updating of routing paths based on network conditions	- Optimized Link State Routing (OLSR) - Ad hoc On-Demand Distance Vector (AODV -Destination-Sequenced Distance Vector (DSDV)
Load Balancing Algorithms	Distribution of traffic across multiple paths or access points in wireless networks	- Equal-Cost Multi-Path (ECMP) - Weighted Round Robin (WRR) - Least-Loaded Routing (LLR)
Traffic Engineering	Optimization of network performance by adjusting routing paths based on traffic demands and network conditions	- Mathematical programming-based optimization - Heuristic algorithms - Traffic Engineering in Software-Defined Networks (SDN)
Software-Defined Networking (SDN)	Centralized control and programmability for dynamic routing and load balancing	- SDN-based dynamic routing and load balancing - Centralized traffic engineering - SDN controllers for load balancing
Machine Learning Approaches	Utilizing machine learning techniques to optimize routing decisions and load balancing	- Reinforcement learning for routing and load balancing - Deep learning models for traffic prediction and optimization

4.5 Adaptive Modulation and Coding

Finally at fifth, the wireless network is also an "Adaptive Modulation and Coding" (AMC). AMC adjusts the modulation and coding scheme (MCS) based on real-time channel conditions to optimize the data rate while ensuring reliable communication [10]. Here's a sample mathematical formulation for AMC in a wireless network:

Network Topology: $Let\ G = (V, E)$ represent the wireless network, where V is the set of nodes (access points or routers) and E is the set of edges (wireless links) connecting them.

Channel Conditions: Let $H(i,j)$ represent the channel condition between nodes i and j. This can be represented as a matrix where $H(i,j)$ indicates the quality of the wireless link between nodes i and j. The channel condition can be quantified using metrics like signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) or bit error rate (BER).

Modulation and Coding Scheme (MCS): $Let\ M(i,j)$ represent the selected MCS for the link between nodes i and j. The MCS determines the modulation scheme and coding rate used for transmission on the link. It can be represented as an integer value, where higher values correspond to higher data rates and more complex modulation schemes.

Data Rate and Throughput: $Let\ R(i,j)$ represent the data rate achieved on the link between nodes i and j, given the selected $MCS\ M(i,j)$. The data rate depends on the chosen modulation scheme, coding rate, and channel conditions.

Objective Function: Define an objective function that maximizes the overall network throughput while minimizing transmission errors. $Let\ T(i,j)$ represent the throughput achieved on the link between nodes i and j. The objective function can be formulated as: Maximize: $\Sigma\ T(i,j)$ Subject to: $T(i,j)\ \leq\ R(i,j)$ (to ensure reliable communication) $M(i,j)\ \in\ \{MCS\ options\}$ (available MCS options for the network)

Channel Condition and MCS Selection: Based on the channel conditions $H(i,j)$, select the $MCS\ M(i,j)$ that maximizes the data rate $R(i,j)$ while satisfying the reliability constraint. This can be achieved by finding the optimal MCS for each link that maximizes the achievable data rate given the channel conditions.

Optimization: Apply optimization techniques such as dynamic programming or greedy algorithms to solve the objective function and find the optimal MCS selection for each link. This optimization process maximizes the network throughput while maintaining reliable communication based on real-time channel conditions [11].

Dynamic Updates: Continuously monitor the channel conditions $H(i,j)$ and update the MCS selection $M(i,j)$ based on real-time measurements. If the channel conditions deteriorate, the MCS can be adjusted to a lower value to maintain reliable communication. Conversely, if the channel conditions improve, the MCS can be increased to achieve higher data rates.

The above formulation provides a basic framework for AMC in wireless networks. The specific equations and optimization techniques may vary depending on the chosen metrics, constraints, and optimization goals. Further refinement and customization are required based on the specific requirements and characteristics of the wireless network under consideration:

Problem Formulation: The objective is to adjust the transmission rate of wireless devices dynamically to mitigate congestion and maximize network throughput. $Let\ R(i)$ represent the transmission rate of device i.

Congestion Detection: A congestion detection mechanism is needed to identify congestion events. Let D(i) denote the congestion detection metric for device i. This metric can be based on factors such as packet loss rate, delay, or queue occupancy.

Objective Function: Define an objective function that minimizes congestion while maximizing network throughput. $Let\ f(R)$ represents the objective function, which can be a weighted sum of the congestion detection metrics and the network throughput [12].

Constraints: Include constraints to ensure that the transmission rates are within certain bounds. For example, let R_min and R_max represent the minimum and maximum transmission rates allowed, respectively. The constraints can be represented as $R\_min\ \leq\ R(i)\ \leq\ R\_max$ for all devices i.

Optimization: Apply an optimization technique, such as gradient descent or convex optimization, to solve the problem and find the optimal transmission rates. The optimization problem can be formulated as minimizing $f(R)$ subject to the constraints mentioned above.

Dynamic Updates: To adapt to changing network conditions and mitigate congestion, the optimization problem should be solved periodically or in real-time. As congestion levels change, the solution can be updated using new measurements and input data. This requires continuous monitoring of congestion metrics and re-optimization of transmission rates when congestion conditions change. By solving the optimization problem periodically, the transmission rates can be adjusted based on the current network conditions, leading to effective congestion control and improved network performance in wireless networks. The specific formulation, objective function, and optimization techniques may vary depending on the chosen congestion control mechanism and the specific characteristics of the wireless network under consideration. The sample provided above outlines a general approach for a mathematical-based solution for congestion control using adaptive rate control in wireless networks.

5. Conclusion

Routing optimization and load balancing are essential techniques in wireless networks for efficient resource utilization, congestion control, and improved network performance. Through the use of dynamic routing algorithms, load balancing strategies, and optimization techniques, researchers have made significant progress in addressing the challenges of congestion and uneven traffic distribution in wireless networks. Traditional routing protocols, load balancing algorithms, and emerging approaches like software-defined networking and machine learning have been explored to optimize routing paths, distribute traffic load, and enhance overall network efficiency. The field of routing optimization and load balancing in wireless networks presents exciting opportunities for further research and development. Some potential future directions include. Intelligent Decision-Making, Integrating artificial intelligence and machine learning techniques to make intelligent routing and load balancing decisions based on real-time network conditions, traffic patterns, and user requirements. Quality of Service (QoS) Optimization, Enhancing routing and load balancing algorithms to consider QoS requirements, latency sensitivity, and traffic prioritization to better serve diverse applications and services. Mobility Support: Developing routing optimization and load balancing strategies that effectively handle node mobility and dynamic changes in network topology, ensuring seamless connectivity and minimal disruption [13]. Heterogeneous Networks, Addressing the challenges posed by the coexistence of different wireless technologies, such as integrating 5G, Wi-Fi, and IoT networks, and developing cross-technology routing and load balancing solutions. Security and Privacy Considerations: Integrating security and privacy mechanisms into routing optimization and load balancing strategies to ensure secure and confidential communication in wireless networks.

Conflict of Interest

There is no conflict of interest.

Funding Source

This work was self-funded and was not supported by any Institution

Authors' Contributions

All Authors conceived the study, researched all relevant literature to the development of the study and supervised.

Acknowledgements

I am thankful to my colleagues and friends for their encouragement, support, and valuable discussions, which have contributed immensely to this work. I also deeply grateful to the respected reviewers and editors of the International Journal of Computer Sciences and Engineering for their meticulous evaluation, insightful comments, and constructive feedback, which have significantly improved this research paper.

References

[1] Lakshman, T. V., and Upamanyu Madhow. "The performance of TCP/IP for networks with high bandwidth-delay products and random loss." IEEE/ACM transactions on networking, Vol.**5**, Issue.**3**, pp.**336-350, 1997.**

[2] Alim Al Islam, A. B. M., and Vijay Raghunathan. "iTCP: an intelligent TCP with neural network based end-to-end congestion control for ad-hoc multi-hop wireless mesh networks." Wireless Networks, Vol.**2**, Issue.**1**, pp.**581-610, 2015.**

[3] Neghabi, Ali Akbar, et al. "Load balancing mechanisms in the software defined networks: a systematic and comprehensive review of the literature." IEEE access, Vol.**5**, Issue.**6**, pp.**14159-14178, 2018.**

[4] Tomar, G.S., Shrivastava, L. and Bhadauria, S.S., "Load balanced congestion adaptive routing for randomly distributed mobile adhoc networks", Wireless personal communications, Vol.**7**, Issue.**7**, pp.**2723-2733, 2014.**

[5] Xu, Xiaolong, et al. "Joint optimization of resource utilization and load balance with privacy preservation for edge services in 5G networks." Mobile Networks and Applications, Vol.**2**, Issue.**5**, pp.**713-724., 2020.**

[6] Singh, Karishma, Karan Singh, and Ahmed Aziz. "Congestion control in wireless sensor networks by hybrid multi-objective optimization algorithm." Computer Networks, Vol.**13**, Issue.**8**, pp.**90-107, 2018.**

[7] Wang, Cao, et al. "Size-based congestion control using network utility maximization." IEEE Transactions on Control of Network Systems Vol.**9**, issue.**2**, pp.**550-561, 2022.**

[8] Zhao, Shasha, and Gan Yu. "Channel allocation optimization algorithm for hybrid wireless mesh networks for information physical fusion system." Computer Communications, Vol.**17**, Issue.**8**, pp.**212-220, 2021.**

[9] Srivastava, Vikas, et al. "Energy efficient optimized rate based congestion control routing in wireless sensor network." Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing, Vol.**1**, Issue.**1**, pp.**1325-1338, 2020.**

[10] Ahmed, Omar, et al. "Energy optimized congestion control-based temperature aware routing algorithm for software defined wireless body area networks." IEEE Access, Vol.**8**, pp.**41085-41099, 2020.**

[11] H. Kaur, S. Sharma, "Behaviour Analysis of EDEEC for 4-Level Heterogeneous Wireless Sensor Networks", International Journal of Computer Sciences and Engineering, Vol.**8**, Issue.**8**, pp.**5-12, 2020.**

[12] G. Rajeswarappa, S. Vasundra, "Energy Aware Routing Protocols for Wireless Mobile Ad hoc Networks: A Review", International Journal of Computer Sciences and Engineering, Vol.**7**, Issue.**6**, pp.**866-872, 2019.**

[13] Keerthi D S, Shobha Rani A, Basavaraju T G, "Delay-Based Routing Mechanism for Load Balanced Routing in Wireless Mesh Networks", International Journal of Computer Sciences and Engineering, Vol.**7**, Issue.**5**, pp.**501-506, 2019.**

AUTHORS PROFILE

Dr. S. Mohanarangan. has obtained B.Sc in Physics from Madras University, M.S Information Technology from Bharathidasan University, M.Tech Information Technology from Sathyabama University and Ph.D. from Anna University. He is having more than 15 years in the field of teaching. He attended and published more than 50 National/Inter National Conferences and Journals in the field of Networks. Has published 1 patent on Wireless Sensor Networks and obtained two NPTEL certificates from IITBombay. He is currently working as Associate Professor at Arunai Engineering College, Tiruvannamalai, Tamilnadu. His research interests are Wireless Mobile Ad hoc Networks, Wireless Sensor Networks and Wireless Mesh Networks.

Mrs. V. Umadevi has obtained B.E Computer Science and Engineering from Avinashilingham Deemed University for Women and M.E from P.S.N.A C.E.T. Having more than 15 years of experience in teaching. has attended and published more than 10 National/Inter National Conferences and Journals in the field of Networks and datamining. She has published 1 patent on data mining and filed another patent based on Data Analytics. Currently working as Assistant Professor at Arunai Engineering College, Tiruvannamalai, Tamilnadu.

Mrs. K.M.Banu Priya has obtained B.E in Computer Science and Engineering from Anna University, M.E Computer Science and Engineering from Anna University. She is having more than 12 years in the field of teaching. Currently working as Assistant Professor in Arunai Engineering College, Tiruvannamalai, Tamilnadu.. Her research interests are Artifcial Intelligence,Computer Networks.

Mrs. M.Hemamalini has obtained BSc Computer Science from Bharathidasan University, Master of Computer Application from Indra Gandhi National Open University, New Delhi and MPhil from Thiruvalluvar University. She attended and published 10 National Conferences and Journal publications. Currently working as Assistant Professor at Kamban College of Arts and Science for Women, Tiruvannamalai, Tamilnadu.

