

A Comparison of while, do-while and for loop in C programming language based on Assembly Code Generation

J. Makhijani^{1*}, M.Niranjan², Y. Sharma³

¹ Department of Computer Science & Engineering, Rustamji Institute of Technology, Tekanpur, Gwalior, India

² Department of Computer Applications, Rustamji Institute of Technology, Tekanpur, Gwalior, India

³ Department of Computer Science & Engineering, Rustamji Institute of Technology, Tekanpur, Gwalior, India

*Corresponding Author: j_makhijani@yahoo.com

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.26438/ijcse/v7i6.12041211> | Available online at: www.ijcseonline.org

Accepted: 17/Jun/2019, Published: 30/Jun/2019

Abstract— C is a programming language which is the most powerful and useful language ever for the programmers and developers. Like all the modern programming languages, the C language also has many control statements out of which five are iterative statements, i.e., while, do-while and for. These three statements are meant for use in same conditions, but which one is better. The performance of these three statement does not compared by the novice programmers. So, a basic knowledge of performance of these loops should be there. This comparison will guide novice programmers to use these loops efficiently. The comparison can be done by counting the execution time but that can depend on other factors also like CPU usage by other programs or services etc. But a very efficient way to compare is the comparison of Assembly Instruction generated by a program, so here we are presenting a comparison based on the assembly code generation by each loop which can be seen by the object file created just after the compilation.

Keywords— while, do-while, for, assembly code

I. INTRODUCTION

C is a programming language which is even popular from more than two decades. It is a procedural programming language which provides so many features. It has low-level access of memory, it has very simple keywords and it also has very clean programming style. These features makes it very useful and popular.

A very useful feature of every programming language is control statements. A control statement specifies the flow of program control or it can be said that which instruction should be executed and which should not be. Control statements makes possible to make decision for execution of one or more statements, it make decision to perform task repeatedly, and it make decision to jump from one statement to other statement.

C programming language has four types of control statements, Decision making statements, selection statements, iteration statements and jump statements. The if-else statement, nested-if statement are the decision making statement. The switch-case statement is the selection control statement. The while statement, do-while statement and for statement are iteration statements. The goto, break, continue and return statements are the jump statements.

The documentation and examples of these control statements are easily available, but the comparison in these statements (in same category) is not available in detail. The learners and

programmers does not compare them, so use any one of them randomly or as per their compatibility. In this research paper, we are going to give a comparison of iteration control statements, i.e., while statement, do-while statement and for statement.

II. INTRODUCTION TO WHILE STATEMENT

While statement is the most basic iteration control statement of c programming language. The while statement has a conditional expression which decides the execution of statements which are given in while block. If condition is true, the while-block-statements get executed otherwise not. Basic syntax of while is as [2]:

```
while(conditional expression)
{
    one or more statements;
}
```

The important thing in this statement is that if condition found false in first iteration, then statements given in while-block will not executed even once [1].

III. INTRODUCTION TO DO-WHILE STATEMENT

Do-while statement is little different from while-statement. Like while statement, the do-while statement also has a conditional expression (which are given in while block) which decides the execution of statements. If condition is

true, the while-block-statements get executed otherwise not. The difference from while block is that do-while has conditional expression in the end of statement where while statement has it in the start. Basic syntax of do-while is as [2]:

```
do
{
    one or more statements;
} while(conditional expression);
```

The important thing in this statement is that if condition found false in first iteration, then also the statements will execute once. This assure executions of statements at-least once [1].

IV. INTRODUCTION TO FOR STATEMENT

For statement is very similar to while statement in behavior. This statement also has a conditional statement which is responsible for the execution of statements under for block. If statement is true, then statement gets executed otherwise not. Basic syntax of for statement is as under [2]:

```
for(expression 1, expression 2, expression 3)
{
    one or more statements;
}
```

Here expression 1 is to initialize the control variable, expression 2 is the conditional expression and expression 3 is the control variable's value modifier statement or to increment/decrement in control variable's value.

Although all these statements are meant for similar work, i.e. repetition of one or more statement, the performance of them may be different. Our aim is to analyze the workability of these statements [1].

V. CODE FOR COMPARISON

Here we are taking three types of code for each loop. First which is just printing "Hello World!". No arithmetic or logical calculation is here. Second one is with arithmetic expression, so we are using the general logic of printing the table of n and n is provided by user at runtime and the third one is with nesting of loop where we are providing the code for sorting of 10 numbers. Here these 10 numbers are static, i.e., provided in program. The code with all three loops is as under:

A. Code for Case-1 (print "Hello World!" ten times)

While loop

```
#include<stdio.h>
int main(){
    int i=0;
    while(i<10)    {
        printf("Hello World!\n");
        i++;
    } return 0;
}
```

Do-while loop

```
#include<stdio.h>
int main(){
    int i=0;
    do    {
        printf("Hello World!\n");
        i++;
    }while(i<10);
    return 0;
}
```

For loop

```
#include<stdio.h>
int main(){
    int i=0;
    for(i=0;i<10;i++) {
        printf("Hello World!\n");
    }
    return 0;
}
```

B. Code for Case-2 (loop with arithmetic operation, i.e., printing the table)

While loop

```
# include<stdio.h>
int main(){
    int i=1,n=0;
    printf("Enter number : ");
    scanf("%d",&n);
    while(i<=10)    {
        printf("%d * %d = %d\n",n,i,n*i);
        i++;
    }    return 0;
}
```

Do-while loop

```
#include<stdio.h>
int main(){
    int i=1,n=0;
    printf("Enter number : ");
    scanf("%d",&n);
    do    {
        printf("%d * %d = %d\n",n,i,n*i);
        i++;
    }while(i<=10);
    return 0;
}
```

For loop

```
#include<stdio.h>
int main(){
    int i=1,n=0;
    printf("Enter number : ");
    scanf("%d",&n);
    for(i=1;i<=10;i++)    {
        printf("%d * %d = %d\n",n,i,n*i);
    }
}
```

```

        return 0;
    }
}
temp=n[i];
n[i]=n[j];
n[j]=temp;
}}}

```

C. Code for Case-3 (nesting of loop for sorting of 10 numbers)

While loop

```

#include<stdio.h>
int main(){
    int i=0,j=0,n[]={4,2,5,8,1,10,9,6,7,3},temp;
    while(i<9) {
        j=i;
        while(j<10) {
            if(n[i]>n[j]) {
                temp=n[i];
                n[i]=n[j];
                n[j]=temp;
                j++;
            }
            i++;
        }
        i=0;
        while(i<10) {
            printf("%d\n",n[i]);
            i++;
        }
        return 0;
    }
}

```

Do-while loop

```

#include<stdio.h>
int main(){
    int i=0,j=0,n[]={4,2,5,8,1,10,9,6,7,3},temp;
    do {
        j=i;
        do {
            if(n[i]>n[j]) {
                temp=n[i];
                n[i]=n[j];
                n[j]=temp;
            }
            j++;
        }while(j<10);
        i++;
    }while(i<9);
    i=0;
    do {
        printf("%d\n",n[i]);
        i++;
    }while(i<10);
    return 0;
}

```

For loop

```

#include<stdio.h>
int main(){
    int i=0,j=0,n[]={4,2,5,8,1,10,9,6,7,3},temp;
    for(i=0;i<9;i++) {
        for(j=i;j<10;j++) {
            if(n[i]>n[j]) {

```

```

                temp=n[i];
                n[i]=n[j];
                n[j]=temp;
            }
        }
    }
    return 0;
}

```

VI. PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

To analyze performance of any statement, the best way is to analysis of assembly code generated by a statement. So, we analyzed the assembly code of every code. Here we are using gcc compiler for compiling and generating the assembly code. The assembly code (only for executable section) of input code is as under:

A. Assembly Code for Case-1 (print "Hello World!" ten times)

While loop

```

00000000 <_main>:
0: 55          push %ebp
1: 89 e5      mov  %esp,%ebp
3: 83 e4 f0   and  $0xfffffff0,%esp
6: 83 ec 20   sub  $0x20,%esp
9: e8 00 00 00 00 call e<_main+0xe>
e: c7 44 24 1c 00 00 00 movl $0x0,0x1c(%esp)
15: 00
16: eb 11     jmp  29 <_main+0x29>
18: c7 04 24 00 00 00 00 movl $0x0,(%esp)
1f: e8 00 00 00 00 call 24 <_main+0x24>
24: 83 44 24 1c 01 addl $0x1,0x1c(%esp)
29: 83 7c 24 1c 09 cmpl $0x9,0x1c(%esp)
2e: 7e e8     jle  18 <_main+0x18>
30: b8 00 00 00 00 mov  $0x0,%eax
35: c9       leave
36: c3       ret
37: 90       nop

```

Do-while loop

```

00000000 <_main>:
0: 55          push %ebp
1: 89 e5      mov  %esp,%ebp
3: 83 e4 f0   and  $0xfffffff0,%esp
6: 83 ec 20   sub  $0x20,%esp
9: e8 00 00 00 00 call e<_main+0xe>
e: c7 44 24 1c 00 00 00 movl $0x0,0x1c(%esp)
15: 00
16: c7 04 24 00 00 00 00 movl $0x0,(%esp)
1d: e8 00 00 00 00 call 22 <_main+0x22>
22: 83 44 24 1c 01 addl $0x1,0x1c(%esp)
27: 83 7c 24 1c 09 cmpl $0x9,0x1c(%esp)
2c: 7e e8     jle  16 <_main+0x16>
2e: b8 00 00 00 00 mov  $0x0,%eax
33: c9       leave

```

```

34: c3      ret
35: 90      nop
36: 90      nop
37: 90      nop

```

For loop

```

00000000 <_main>:
0: 55      push %ebp
1: 89 e5   mov %esp,%ebp
3: 83 e4 f0 and $0xfffff0,%esp
6: 83 ec 20 sub $0x20,%esp
9: e8 00 00 00 call e<_main+0xe>
e: c7 44 24 1c 00 00 00 movl $0x0,0x1c(%esp)
15: 00
16: c7 44 24 1c 00 00 00 movl $0x0,0x1c(%esp)
1d: 00
1e: eb 11   jmp 31<_main+0x31>
20: c7 04 24 00 00 00 00 movl $0x0,(%esp)
27: e8 00 00 00 00 call 2c<_main+0x2c>
2c: 83 44 24 1c 01 addl $0x1,0x1c(%esp)
31: 83 7c 24 1c 09 cmpl $0x9,0x1c(%esp)
36: 7e e8   jle 20<_main+0x20>
38: b8 00 00 00 00 mov $0x0,%eax
3d: c9     leave
3e: c3     ret
3f: 90     nop

```

B. Assembly for Case-2 (loop with arithmetic operation, i.e., printing the table)*While loop*

```

00000000 <_main>:
0: 55      push %ebp
1: 89 e5   mov %esp,%ebp
3: 83 e4 f0 and $0xfffff0,%esp
6: 83 ec 20 sub $0x20,%esp
9: e8 00 00 00 00 call e<_main+0xe>
e: c7 44 24 1c 01 00 00 movl $0x1,0x1c(%esp)
15: 00
16: c7 44 24 18 00 00 00 movl $0x0,0x18(%esp)
1d: 00
1e: c7 04 24 00 00 00 00 movl $0x0,(%esp)
25: e8 00 00 00 00 call 2a<_main+0x2a>
2a: 8d 44 24 18 lea 0x18(%esp),%eax
2e: 89 44 24 04 mov %eax,0x4(%esp)
32: c7 04 24 11 00 00 00 movl $0x11,(%esp)
39: e8 00 00 00 00 call 3e<_main+0x3e>
3e: eb 30   jmp 70<_main+0x70>
40: 8b 44 24 18 mov 0x18(%esp),%eax
44: 0f af 44 24 1c imul 0x1c(%esp),%eax
49: 89 c2   mov %eax,%edx
4b: 8b 44 24 18 mov 0x18(%esp),%eax
4f: 89 54 24 0c mov %edx,0xc(%esp)

```

```

53: 8b 54 24 1c mov 0x1c(%esp),%edx
57: 89 54 24 08 mov %edx,0x8(%esp)
5b: 89 44 24 04 mov %eax,0x4(%esp)
5f: c7 04 24 14 00 00 00 movl $0x14,(%esp)
66: e8 00 00 00 00 call 6b<_main+0x6b>
6b: 83 44 24 1c 01 addl $0x1,0x1c(%esp)
70: 83 7c 24 1c 0a cmpl $0xa,0x1c(%esp)
75: 7e c9   jle 40<_main+0x40>
77: b8 00 00 00 00 mov $0x0,%eax
7c: c9     leave
7d: c3     ret
7e: 90     nop
7f: 90     nop

```

Do-while loop

```

00000000 <_main>:
0: 55      push %ebp
1: 89 e5   mov %esp,%ebp
3: 83 e4 f0 and $0xfffff0,%esp
6: 83 ec 20 sub $0x20,%esp
9: e8 00 00 00 00 call e<_main+0xe>
e: c7 44 24 1c 01 00 00 movl $0x1,0x1c(%esp)
15: 00
16: c7 44 24 18 00 00 00 movl $0x0,0x18(%esp)
1d: 00
1e: c7 04 24 00 00 00 00 movl $0x0,(%esp)
25: e8 00 00 00 00 call 2a<_main+0x2a>
2a: 8d 44 24 18 lea 0x18(%esp),%eax
2e: 89 44 24 04 mov %eax,0x4(%esp)
32: c7 04 24 11 00 00 00 movl $0x11,(%esp)
39: e8 00 00 00 00 call 3e<_main+0x3e>
3e: 8b 44 24 18 mov 0x18(%esp),%eax
42: 0f af 44 24 1c imul 0x1c(%esp),%eax
47: 89 c2   mov %eax,%edx
49: 8b 44 24 18 mov 0x18(%esp),%eax
4d: 89 54 24 0c mov %edx,0xc(%esp)
51: 8b 54 24 1c mov 0x1c(%esp),%edx
55: 89 54 24 08 mov %edx,0x8(%esp)
59: 89 44 24 04 mov %eax,0x4(%esp)
5d: c7 04 24 14 00 00 00 movl $0x14,(%esp)
64: e8 00 00 00 00 call 69<_main+0x69>
69: 83 44 24 1c 01 addl $0x1,0x1c(%esp)
6e: 83 7c 24 1c 0a cmpl $0xa,0x1c(%esp)
73: 7e c9   jle 3e<_main+0x3e>
75: b8 00 00 00 00 mov $0x0,%eax
7a: c9     leave
7b: c3     ret

```

For loop

```

00000000 <_main>:
0: 55      push %ebp
1: 89 e5   mov %esp,%ebp
3: 83 e4 f0 and $0xfffff0,%esp
6: 83 ec 20 sub $0x20,%esp

```

```

9: e8 00 00 00 00    call e <_main+0xe>
e:   c7 44 24 1c 01 00 00    movl
$0x1,0x1c(%esp)
15: 00
16:   c7 44 24 18 00 00 00    movl
$0x0,0x18(%esp)
1d: 00
1e: c7 04 24 00 00 00 00    movl $0x0,(%esp)
25: e8 00 00 00 00    call 2a <_main+0x2a>
2a: 8d 44 24 18    lea 0x18(%esp),%eax
2e: 89 44 24 04    mov %eax,0x4(%esp)
32: c7 04 24 11 00 00 00    movl $0x11,(%esp)
39: e8 00 00 00 00    call 3e <_main+0x3e>
3e:   c7 44 24 1c 01 00 00    movl
$0x1,0x1c(%esp)
45: 00
46: eb 30    jmp 78 <_main+0x78>
48: 8b 44 24 18    mov 0x18(%esp),%eax
4c: 0f af 44 24 1c    imul 0x1c(%esp),%eax
51: 89 c2    mov %eax,%edx
53: 8b 44 24 18    mov 0x18(%esp),%eax
57: 89 54 24 0c    mov %edx,0xc(%esp)
5b: 8b 54 24 1c    mov 0x1c(%esp),%edx
5f: 89 54 24 08    mov %edx,0x8(%esp)
63: 89 44 24 04    mov %eax,0x4(%esp)
67: c7 04 24 14 00 00 00    movl $0x14,(%esp)
6e: e8 00 00 00 00    call 73 <_main+0x73>
73: 83 44 24 1c 01    addl $0x1,0x1c(%esp)
78: 83 7c 24 1c 0a    cmpl $0xa,0x1c(%esp)
7d: 7e c9    jle 48 <_main+0x48>
7f: b8 00 00 00 00    mov $0x0,%eax
84: c9    leave
85: c3    ret
86: 90    nop
87: 90    nop
26:   c7 44 24 20 02 00 00    movl
$0x2,0x20(%esp)
2d: 00
2e:   c7 44 24 24 05 00 00    movl
$0x5,0x24(%esp)
35: 00
36:   c7 44 24 28 08 00 00    movl
$0x8,0x28(%esp)
3d: 00
3e:   c7 44 24 2c 01 00 00    movl
$0x1,0x2c(%esp)
45: 00
46:   c7 44 24 30 0a 00 00    movl
$0xa,0x30(%esp)
4d: 00
4e:   c7 44 24 34 09 00 00    movl
$0x9,0x34(%esp)
55: 00
56:   c7 44 24 38 06 00 00    movl
$0x6,0x38(%esp)
5d: 00
5e:   c7 44 24 3c 07 00 00    movl
$0x7,0x3c(%esp)
65: 00
66:   c7 44 24 40 03 00 00    movl
$0x3,0x40(%esp)
6d: 00
6e: eb 57    jmp c7 <_main+0xc7>
70: 8b 44 24 4c    mov 0x4c(%esp),%eax
74: 89 44 24 48    mov %eax,0x48(%esp)
78: eb 41    jmp bb <_main+0xbb>
7a: 8b 44 24 4c    mov 0x4c(%esp),%eax
7e:   8b 54 84 1c    mov
0x1c(%esp,%eax,4),%edx
82: 8b 44 24 48    mov 0x48(%esp),%eax
86:   8b 44 84 1c    mov
0x1c(%esp,%eax,4),%eax
8a: 39 c2    cmp %eax,%edx
8c: 7e 28    jle b6 <_main+0xb6>
8e: 8b 44 24 4c    mov 0x4c(%esp),%eax
92:   8b 44 84 1c    mov
0x1c(%esp,%eax,4),%eax
96: 89 44 24 44    mov %eax,0x44(%esp)
9a: 8b 44 24 48    mov 0x48(%esp),%eax
9e:   8b 54 84 1c    mov
0x1c(%esp,%eax,4),%edx
a2: 8b 44 24 4c    mov 0x4c(%esp),%eax
a6:   89 54 84 1c    mov
%edx,0x1c(%esp,%eax,4)
aa: 8b 44 24 48    mov 0x48(%esp),%eax
ae: 8b 54 24 44    mov 0x44(%esp),%edx
b2:   89 54 84 1c    mov
%edx,0x1c(%esp,%eax,4)
b6: 83 44 24 48 01    addl $0x1,0x48(%esp)
bb: 83 7c 24 48 09    cmpl $0x9,0x48(%esp)
c0: 7e b8    jle 7a <_main+0x7a>

```

C. Assembly for Case-3 (nesting of loop for sorting of 10 numbers)

While loop

```

00000000 <_main>:
0: 55    push %ebp
1: 89 e5    mov %esp,%ebp
3: 83 e4 f0    and $0xfffff0,%esp
6: 83 ec 50    sub $0x50,%esp
9: e8 00 00 00 00    call e <_main+0xe>
e:   c7 44 24 4c 00 00 00    movl
$0x0,0x4c(%esp)
15: 00
16:   c7 44 24 48 00 00 00    movl
$0x0,0x48(%esp)
1d: 00
1e:   c7 44 24 1c 04 00 00    movl
$0x4,0x1c(%esp)
25: 00

```

```

c2: 83 44 24 4c 01      addl $0x1,0x4c(%esp)
c7: 83 7c 24 4c 08      cmpl $0x8,0x4c(%esp)
cc: 7e a2                jle 70 <_main+0x70>
ce: c7 44 24 4c 00 00 00      movl $0x0,0x4c(%esp)
d5: 00
d6: eb 1d                jmp f5 <_main+0xf5>
d8: 8b 44 24 4c          mov 0x4c(%esp),%eax
dc: 8b 44 84 1c          mov 0x1c(%esp,%eax,4),%eax
e0: 89 44 24 04          mov %eax,0x4(%esp)
e4: c7 04 24 00 00 00 00      movl $0x0,(%esp)
eb: e8 00 00 00 00        call f0 <_main+0xf0>
f0: 83 44 24 4c 01      addl $0x1,0x4c(%esp)
f5: 83 7c 24 4c 09      cmpl $0x9,0x4c(%esp)
fa: 7e dc                jle d8 <_main+0xd8>
fc: b8 00 00 00 00      mov $0x0,%eax
101: c9                  leave
102: c3                   ret
103: 90                   nop

```

Do-while loop

```

00000000 <_main>:
0: 55                    push %ebp
1: 89 e5                 mov %esp,%ebp
3: 83 e4 f0              and $0xfffff0,%esp
6: 83 ec 50              sub $0x50,%esp
9: e8 00 00 00 00      call e <_main+0xe>
e: c7 44 24 4c 00 00 00      movl $0x0,0x4c(%esp)
15: 00
16: c7 44 24 48 00 00 00      movl $0x0,0x48(%esp)
1d: 00
1e: c7 44 24 1c 04 00 00      movl $0x4,0x1c(%esp)
25: 00
26: c7 44 24 20 02 00 00      movl $0x2,0x20(%esp)
2d: 00
2e: c7 44 24 24 05 00 00      movl $0x5,0x24(%esp)
35: 00
36: c7 44 24 28 08 00 00      movl $0x8,0x28(%esp)
3d: 00
3e: c7 44 24 2c 01 00 00      movl $0x1,0x2c(%esp)
45: 00
46: c7 44 24 30 0a 00 00      movl $0xa,0x30(%esp)
4d: 00
4e: c7 44 24 34 09 00 00      movl $0x9,0x34(%esp)
55: 00
56: c7 44 24 38 06 00 00      movl $0x6,0x38(%esp)
5d: 00
5e: c7 44 24 3c 07 00 00      movl $0x7,0x3c(%esp)
65: 00
66: c7 44 24 40 03 00 00      movl $0x3,0x40(%esp)
6d: 00
6e: 8b 44 24 4c          mov 0x4c(%esp),%eax
72: 89 44 24 48          mov %eax,0x48(%esp)
76: 8b 44 24 4c          mov 0x4c(%esp),%eax
7a: 8b 54 84 1c          mov 0x1c(%esp,%eax,4),%edx
7e: 8b 44 24 48          mov 0x48(%esp),%eax
82: 8b 44 84 1c          mov 0x1c(%esp,%eax,4),%eax
86: 39 c2                cmp %eax,%edx
88: 7e 28                jle b2 <_main+0xb2>
8a: 8b 44 24 4c          mov 0x4c(%esp),%eax
8e: 8b 44 84 1c          mov 0x1c(%esp,%eax,4),%eax
92: 89 44 24 44          mov %eax,0x44(%esp)
96: 8b 44 24 48          mov 0x48(%esp),%eax
9a: 8b 54 84 1c          mov 0x1c(%esp,%eax,4),%edx
9e: 8b 44 24 4c          mov 0x4c(%esp),%eax
a2: 89 54 84 1c          mov %edx,0x1c(%esp,%eax,4)
a6: 8b 44 24 48          mov 0x48(%esp),%eax
aa: 8b 54 24 44          mov 0x44(%esp),%edx
ae: 89 54 84 1c          mov %edx,0x1c(%esp,%eax,4)
b2: 83 44 24 48 01      addl $0x1,0x48(%esp)
b7: 83 7c 24 48 09      cmpl $0x9,0x48(%esp)
bc: 7e b8                jle 76 <_main+0x76>
be: 83 44 24 4c 01      addl $0x1,0x4c(%esp)
c3: 83 7c 24 4c 08      cmpl $0x8,0x4c(%esp)
c8: 7e a4                jle 6e <_main+0x6e>
ca: c7 44 24 4c 00 00 00      movl $0x0,0x4c(%esp)
d1: 00
d2: 8b 44 24 4c          mov 0x4c(%esp),%eax
d6: 8b 44 84 1c          mov 0x1c(%esp,%eax,4),%eax
da: 89 44 24 04          mov %eax,0x4(%esp)
de: c7 04 24 00 00 00 00      movl $0x0,(%esp)
e5: e8 00 00 00 00        call ea <_main+0xea>
ea: 83 44 24 4c 01      addl $0x1,0x4c(%esp)
ef: 83 7c 24 4c 09      cmpl $0x9,0x4c(%esp)
f4: 7e dc                jle d2 <_main+0xd2>
f6: b8 00 00 00 00      mov $0x0,%eax
fb: c9                  leave
fc: c3                   ret
fd: 90                   nop
fe: 90                   nop

```

```

ff: 90          nop
For loop
00000000 <_main>:
0: 55          push %ebp
1: 89 e5      mov %esp,%ebp
3: 83 e4 f0   and $0xfffff0,%esp
6: 83 ec 50   sub $0x50,%esp
9: e8 00 00 00 00 call e <_main+0xe>
e: c7 44 24 4c 00 00 00 movl
$0x0,0x4c(%esp)
15: 00
16: c7 44 24 48 00 00 00 movl
$0x0,0x48(%esp)
1d: 00
1e: c7 44 24 1c 04 00 00 movl
$0x4,0x1c(%esp)
25: 00
26: c7 44 24 20 02 00 00 movl
$0x2,0x20(%esp)
2d: 00
2e: c7 44 24 24 05 00 00 movl
$0x5,0x24(%esp)
35: 00
36: c7 44 24 28 08 00 00 movl
$0x8,0x28(%esp)
3d: 00
3e: c7 44 24 2c 01 00 00 movl
$0x1,0x2c(%esp)
45: 00
46: c7 44 24 30 0a 00 00 movl
$0xa,0x30(%esp)
4d: 00
4e: c7 44 24 34 09 00 00 movl
$0x9,0x34(%esp)
55: 00
56: c7 44 24 38 06 00 00 movl
$0x6,0x38(%esp)
5d: 00
5e: c7 44 24 3c 07 00 00 movl
$0x7,0x3c(%esp)
65: 00
66: c7 44 24 40 03 00 00 movl
$0x3,0x40(%esp)
6d: 00
6e: c7 44 24 4c 00 00 00 movl
$0x0,0x4c(%esp)
75: 00
76: eb 57      jmp cf <_main+0xcf>
78: 8b 44 24 4c mov 0x4c(%esp),%eax
7c: 89 44 24 48 mov %eax,0x48(%esp)
80: eb 41      jmp c3 <_main+0xc3>
82: 8b 44 24 4c mov 0x4c(%esp),%eax
86: 8b 54 84 1c mov
0x1c(%esp,%eax,4),%edx
8a: 8b 44 24 48 mov 0x48(%esp),%eax

```

```

8e: 8b 44 84 1c mov
0x1c(%esp,%eax,4),%eax
92: 39 c2      cmp %eax,%edx
94: 7e 28      jle be <_main+0xbe>
96: 8b 44 24 4c mov 0x4c(%esp),%eax
9a: 8b 44 84 1c mov
0x1c(%esp,%eax,4),%eax
9e: 89 44 24 44 mov %eax,0x44(%esp)
a2: 8b 44 24 48 mov 0x48(%esp),%eax
a6: 8b 54 84 1c mov
0x1c(%esp,%eax,4),%edx
aa: 8b 44 24 4c mov 0x4c(%esp),%eax
ae: 89 54 84 1c mov
%edx,0x1c(%esp,%eax,4)
b2: 8b 44 24 48 mov 0x48(%esp),%eax
b6: 8b 54 24 44 mov 0x44(%esp),%eax
ba: 89 54 84 1c mov
%edx,0x1c(%esp,%eax,4)
be: 83 44 24 48 01 addl $0x1,0x48(%esp)
c3: 83 7c 24 48 09 cmpl $0x9,0x48(%esp)
c8: 7e b8      jle 82 <_main+0x82>
ca: 83 44 24 4c 01 addl $0x1,0x4c(%esp)
cf: 83 7c 24 4c 08 cmpl $0x8,0x4c(%esp)
d4: 7e a2      jle 78 <_main+0x78>
d6: c7 44 24 4c 00 00 00 movl
$0x0,0x4c(%esp)
dd: 00
de: eb 1d      jmp fd <_main+0xfd>
e0: 8b 44 24 4c mov 0x4c(%esp),%eax
e4: 8b 44 84 1c mov
0x1c(%esp,%eax,4),%eax
e8: 89 44 24 04 mov %eax,0x4(%esp)
ec: c7 04 24 00 00 00 00 movl $0x0,(%esp)
f3: e8 00 00 00 00 call f8 <_main+0xf8>
f8: 83 44 24 4c 01 addl $0x1,0x4c(%esp)
fd: 83 7c 24 4c 09 cmpl $0x9,0x4c(%esp)
102: 7e dc      jle e0 <_main+0xe0>
104: b8 00 00 00 00 mov $0x0,%eax
109: c9        leave
10a: c3        ret
10b: 90        nop

```

The above assembly instructions generated in these three cases can be summarized as under in Table-1:

Case	No. of Assembly Statement generated for executable section		
	while loop	do-while loop	for loop
Case-1	17	18	19
Case-2	34	31	36
Case-3	70	69	72

Table 1- Summary of Assembly Instruction in each case

VII. RESULTS

With above table it is clear that for loop is generating the highest number of assembly instructions in each case, so it is the worst performer. The do-while loop is the best loop if we are going to repeat the statements till the known number of times. The while loop tops only if arithmetic or logical operations are not used which is generally not happened, so according to our analysis, do-while loop is the best performer as it generates least number of assembly instructions.

VIII. CONCLUSION

The three loops of c language compared according to number of assembly instructions generated in executable section of program. This is a very well defined way of comparison which results do-while loop as the best. The comparison may also include some other points such as function call within the loop, or nesting of different types of loops.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Mark Burgess, “*The GNU C Programming Tutorial*”, Ron Hale-Evans, Norway, pp. 61-68, 2002
- [2]. E Balagurusamy, “*Programming in ANSI C*”, Tata McGraw-Hill, India, pp 154-159, 2007
- [3]. Joseph Cavanagh, “*X86 Assembly Language and C Fundamentals*”, CRC Press Taylor & Francis Group, New York, pp 251-266, 2013

Authors Profile

Jagdish Makhijani pursued Ph.D. in Computer Science from Barkatullah University, Bhopal in 2013. He is currently working as Assistant Professor in Department of Computer Science & Engineering, Rustamji Institute of Technology, BSF Academy, Tekanpur since 2012. He is a life member of Computer Society of India since 2017, a life member of the Vigyan Bharti since 2018. He has published more than 10 research papers in reputed international journals and conferences. His main research work focuses on Distributed Systems and Competitive Programming Research. He has 19 years of teaching experience and 10 years of Research Experience.



Manoj Kumar Niranjani pursued Ph.D. in Computer Applications from Rajiv Gandhi Pradyogiki Vishwavidyalaya, Bhopal in 2019. He is currently working as Assistant Professor in Department of Computer Applications, Rustamji Institute of Technology, BSF Academy, Tekanpur. He is a lifetime member of Vigyan Bharti. He has published more than 10 research papers in reputed international journals and conferences. His main research work focuses on Distributed Systems and Artificial Intelligence. He has 16 years of teaching experience and 10 years of Research Experience.



Yograj Sharma pursued M. E. in Computer Science & Engineering from IET, Devi Ahilya Vishwavidyalaya, Indore in 2014. He is currently working as Assistant Professor & Head in Department of Computer Science & Engineering, Rustamji Institute of Technology, BSF Academy, Tekanpur. He is a member of Computer Society of India since 2018. He has published more than 08 research papers in reputed international journals and conferences. His main research work focuses on Cryptography and Information Security. He has 05 years of teaching experience and 06 years of Research Experience.

